arXiv:1702.01111v2 [math.AC] 23 Apr 2017

ANNIHILATORS OF KOSZUL HOMOLOGIES AND ALMOST COMPLETE INTERSECTINOS

S. HAMID HASSANZADEH AND EHSAN TAVANFAR

In the memory of Ehsan Tavanfar's father, Manouchehr Tavanfar, who passed away at the time of the preparation of this paper.

Abstract. In this article, as a generalization of the Monomial Conjecture, we propound a question on the annihilator of Koszul homologies of a system of parameters of an almost complete intersection R. Our question can be stated in terms of the acyclicity of certain residual approximation complexes whose 0-th homologies are the residue field of R. We establish our question for certain almost complete intersections with small multiplicities. To this aim, for an equi-characteristic almost complete intersection $(R, \mathfrak{m})$, we establish an inequality between multiplicity and Cohen-Macaulay defect, $\mathrm{e}(R) \geq \dim(R) - \mathrm{depth}(R)$, when $\dim(R) \leq 3$ or $\mathrm{e}(R) \leq 2$. We, also, present an example to show that the above inequality does not hold in general.

1. Introduction

The Hochster's Monomial Conjecture, which has been recently settled affirmatively by Yves Andre in [An16], was a challenging open question in Commutative Algebra about 4 decades and it has various equivalent forms. One of them which is inspiring to many results of the present article is given by Dutta in [D13] and states that for an almost complete intersection ring R and a system of parameters $\mathbf{x}$ of R we are endowed with an inequality, $\ell_R\big(R/(\mathbf{x})\big) \gneq \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}, R)\big)$. In the present paper, we show that the Dutta's inequality is equivalent to the assertion, $(\mathbf{x} : \mathfrak{m}) \subseteq 0 :_R \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}, R)$. Bearing this equivalence in mind, we wondered if the following question has an affirmative answer.

Question 1.1. *Let R be an almost complete intersection and $\mathbf{x}$ be a system of parameters of R. Then is $\big((\mathbf{x} : \mathfrak{m})\big)H_i(\mathbf{x}, R) = 0$ for each $i \geq 1$?*

Approximation complexes, as a variant of Koszul type complexes, are introduced and investigated in [HSV81]. A new generation of approximation complexes, so-called, residual approximation complexes are constructed by the first named author of the present paper in [Ha12], to establish a conjecture on the Cohen-Macaulayness of certain residual intersections, as a consequence of the acyclicity of his residual approximation complexes. Then, in, [HN16], the authors show that the the acyclicity of the residual approximation complexes has strong connection with the annihilators of Koszul homologies, so that the foregoing question can be rephrased, equivalently, as follows.

Question 1.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be an almost complete intersection, $\mathbf{x}$ be a system of parameters for R and $z \in \big((\mathbf{x}) : \mathfrak{m}\big)\backslash(\mathbf{x})$, i.e. $(\mathbf{x}) : z = \mathfrak{m}$. Then we are endowed with a residual approximation complex $\mathcal{Z}^+_\bullet\big(\mathbf{x}, z\big)$*

Date: April 25, 2017.

[0] (MSC2010) 13D02, 13H10, 13H15.

[0]Keywords: Almost complete intersection, approximation complex, canonical module, Koszul annihilator, multiplicity.

[0]The first author is supported in part by the grant "Bolsa de Produtividade" from CNPq-Brazil and the second author is supported in part by a grant from IPM-Iran and in part by NFS grant DMS 1162585.

which is a finite complex consisting of Koszul cycles of $(\mathbf{x}, z)$ *satisfying,* $H_0\Big(\mathcal{Z}^+_\bullet(\mathbf{x}, z)\Big) = R/\mathfrak{m}$. *The question is that, whether,* $\mathcal{Z}^+_\bullet(\mathbf{x}, z)$ *"resolves"* $R/\mathfrak{m}$*, i.e. it is an acyclic complex?*

Describing the annihilator of Koszul homologies is a subtle question and, being a generalization of the Monomial Conjecture, Questions 1.1 and Question 1.2 seem to be even more subtle. We investigated the mentioned questions in the non-trivial case where the system of parameter $\mathbf{x}$ contains $\mathfrak{m}^2$ (with $x_1 = p$ if, moreover, R has mixed characteristic $p > 0$) and we succeeded to answer the questions in the affirmative, in this case. The main tool in the course of the proof of our case of study is the use of multiplicity theory by which our investigation has been also, somewhat, related to other conjectures namely the Eisenbud-Goto Conjecture and the Stillman's conjecture. We found that our problem reduces to the case where R has multiplicity 2. Thereafter, by looking at a bunch of examples using the Macaulay2 system, we guessed that any such an almost complete intersection satisfies, $\operatorname{depth}(R) \geq \dim(R) - 2 = \dim(R) - \operatorname{e}(R)$. We succeeded to prove the validity of this inequality by which we answered the above questions affirmatively.

The multiplicity of a commutative Noetherian local ring is one of the most intriguing invariants of commutative rings which has several geometric and combinatorial interpretations. Connecting this invariant to other invariants of the ring has been always attracting attentions. One of the oldest relations (see for instance [Ab66, 12.3.5]) is $\deg X \geq 1 + \operatorname{codim} X$ where X is a nondegenerate, connected in codimension 1 algebraic set in a projective space over an algebraically closed field. In this vein there is the Eisenbud-Goto conjecture stating that $\deg X \geq \operatorname{reg}(S_X) + \operatorname{codim} X$. Recently I.Peeva and J. McCullough [PM16] present a family of examples which disprove this conjecture. By the way this inequality is a true relation for Cohen-Macaulay rings. Another significant inequality for Cohen-Macaulay rings is the Abhyankar's inequality $\operatorname{e}(R) \geq \operatorname{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) + 1$. Motivated by the discussion in the previous paragraphs, we were eager to investigate the inequality, $\operatorname{e}(R) \geq \dim(R) - \operatorname{depth}(R)$, for an almost complete intersection R. Simple examples show that the naive relations do not exist in general. For instance for $R = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2, xy, xz)$, $\operatorname{e}(R) = 1$, $\dim(R) = 2$ and $\operatorname{depth}(R) = 0$.

In a series of papers [HMMS13], [HMMS15], [HMMS16] and [HMMS14] Huneke et al study the bound for the projective dimension of some family of homogenous ideals over polynomial rings toward the Stilllman's conjecture. The careful examination in [HMMS14] shows that $\operatorname{e}(R) \geq \dim(R) - \operatorname{depth}(R)$ for quadratic ideals of height 3 generated by 4 elements. Also P. Mantero and J.McCullough [MM17] show that an ideal generated by 3 cubics has projective dimension at most 5; so that $\operatorname{e}(R) \geq \dim(R) - \operatorname{depth}(R)$ for this type of ideals. However the most interesting result for us is [HMMS15, Proposition 3.4] wherein based on an old result of P. Samuel, it is particularly shown that

> If R is a polynomial ring over a field and I is a homogeneous almost complete intersection ideal with $\operatorname{e}(R/I) = 1$ then $1 \geq \dim(R/I) - \operatorname{depth}(R/I)$.

In Section 2 of the paper, we generalize the above result by showing that if R/I is any almost complete intersection(mixed-characteristic or equal-characteristic) of dimension at most 2 or multiplicity at most 1 then $\operatorname{e}(R/I) \geq \dim(R/I) - \operatorname{depth}(R/I)$. As well, we prove that if R is an equal-characteristic, almost complete intersection of dimension at most 3 or multiplicity at most 2 then $\operatorname{e}(R) \geq \dim(R) - \operatorname{depth}(R)$. In the first case, the proof involves the validity of Monomial Conjecture of Hochster for mixed-charactristic which is recently settled by Y. Andre [An16]. In the second case, the equal-characteristic assumption is needed in the course of the proof to build a regular subring by a given system of parameters. We present a theorem of Beder et al [BMNS11] which shows that the desired inequality does not hold for some equal-characteristic almost complete intersection R with $\operatorname{e}(R) \geq 600$. Question 2.7 then arises naturally. As applications in this section, we show that the Small Cohen-Macaulay Conjecture holds for

equal-characteristic complete rings of multiplicity at most 2; and also (re)prove the Eisenbud-Huneke's result for ideals generated by 3 quadrics.

In order to stress the significance of almost complete intersections, we end the introduction by showing that, as the Monomial Conjecture which is recently established, the Small Cohen-Macaulay Conjecture also reduces to almost complete intersections. Namely we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.3. *The Small Cohen-Macaulay Conjecture is valid if every complete almost complete intersection has a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.*

Proof. It is well-known that the conjecture reduces to normal complete domains. So let R be a normal complete local domain. Then the same argument as in [D13, Proposition 1.2] shows that there exists a complete almost complete intersection S such that both of R and S are homomorphic image of a regular local ring A and they have the same canonical module. Now, if M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay S-module then by [Sch98, Corollary 1.15.] or [TT16, Theorem 2.9.(ii)], so is the canonical module of M, i.e., $\omega_M := \mathrm{Hom}_S(M, \omega_S) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, \omega_S)$. But the latter is then a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-module, as ω_S is, also, the canonical module of R. □

2. Inequality between multiplicity and Cohen-Macaulay defect in the case of dimension at most 3 or multiplicity at most 2

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noeherian local ring of dimension d, $\mathfrak{a}$ be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of R and M be a finitely generated R-module. Then, the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of M with respect to the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is defined by

$$e(\mathfrak{a}, M) := \lim_{n\to\infty} d! \frac{\ell_R(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)}{n^d}.$$

The notation, $e(M)$, stands for the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of M with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R. Quite often, problems on multiplicity can be reduced to the case where R is a complete local ring with algebraically closed residue field. We refer to the excellent book [HIO88] for general theory of the multiplicity.

To fix the notations, we say that R is an almost complete intersection whenever R is a residue ring of a regular local ring A by an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}$ can be generated minimally by $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{a}) + 1$ elements. The Koszul homologies (complex) of a sequence $\mathbf{x}$ with coefficients in a R-module M are denoted by $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}, M)$ ($K_\bullet(\mathbf{x}, M)$). Also, the canonical modules of the ring R, if it exists, is denoted by ω_R.

We need several auxiliary facts to prove Theorem 2.5. The first one is a general lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{n})$ be a regular local ring and $\mathfrak{b} = (y_1, \ldots, y_s)$ is an almost complete intersection ideal of A. Let $R = A/\mathfrak{b}$ and suppose that $\dim(R) = d$ and $\mathbf{x} := x_1, \ldots, x_d$ be a system of parameters of R. Then there exists a sequence $\tilde{x_1}, ..., \tilde{x_d}$ of elements of A such that $\tilde{x_1}, ..., \tilde{x_d}, y_1, \ldots, y_{s-1}$ is a regular sequence of A and the ideal $(\tilde{x_1}, ..., \tilde{x_d})R$ coincides with $(x_1, ..., x_d)R$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a} := (\tilde{x_1}', \ldots, \tilde{x_d}')$ be an arbitrary lift of $\mathbf{x}$ to A. Note that, $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{a}) = d$, otherwise there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Var}(\mathfrak{a})$ of height $\leq d-1$ and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{assht}(\mathfrak{b})$ such that, by virtue of [Se00, Theorem 3., page 110], $\mathrm{ht}_A(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}) \leq \mathrm{ht}_A(\mathfrak{p}+\mathfrak{q}) \leq \mathrm{ht}_A(\mathfrak{p})+\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) \lneq d+s-1 = \dim(A/\mathfrak{b})+\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{b}) = \dim(A)$, contracting with the fact that $\mathfrak{a}$ extends to a system of parameters for R. Thus $\mathfrak{a}$ satisfies, $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mu(\mathfrak{a}) = d$, and whereby it is a complete intersection. The rest of the proof of the claim is a standard method in commutative algebra based on the application of, [Ka74, Theorem 124., page 90], in conjunction with the fact that, $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}) = \dim(A)$, similar to the solution of [BH98, 1.2.21, page 15]. □

The following Lemma is one of the main ingredients of the proof of Theorem 2.5. The fact about the depth of the canonical module is known to the experts.

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be an almost complete intersection of dimension d and $\mathbf{x} := x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a system of parameters for R. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) There exists an exact sequence,

$$0 \to H_2(\mathbf{x}, R) \to \omega_R/(\mathbf{x})\omega_R \xrightarrow{\theta} \omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})R} \to H_1(\mathbf{x}, R) \to 0.$$

(ii) $H_i(\mathbf{x}, R) \cong H_{i-2}(\mathbf{x}, \omega_R)$*, for each* $i \geq 3$.

(iii)

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{depth}(\omega_R) = \operatorname{depth}(R) + 2, & \text{if } \operatorname{depth}(R) \leq d-2 \\ \operatorname{depth}(\omega_R) = d, & \text{if } \operatorname{depth}(R) \geq d-1. \end{cases}$$

(iv) $e(\mathbf{x}, \omega_R) = e(\mathbf{x}, R)$.

Proof. Assume that $(A, \mathfrak{n})$ is a regular local ring and $\mathfrak{b} = (y_1, \dots, y_s)$ is an almost complete intersection ideal of A such that $R = A/\mathfrak{b}$. According to Lemma 2.1, there exists an ideal $(\tilde{x_1}, ..., \tilde{x_d})A$ of A which is a lift of the ideal $(x_1, ..., x_d)R$ of R such that $\mathfrak{a} = (\tilde{x_1}, \dots, \tilde{x_d})$ is a complete intersection and $\tilde{x_1}, \dots, \tilde{x_d}, y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}$ forms a regular sequence of A . By the abuse of notation, we use the same notation $x_1, \dots, x_d$ to denote the lift of $\mathbf{x}$ to A.

We prove (i), (ii) and (iii). Let $\mathbf{y}'$ denotes the truncated sequence, $y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}$. Consider the double complex $M_{p,q} := \mathrm{K}_p\big(\mathbf{x}; A/(\mathbf{y}')\big) \bigotimes_A \mathrm{K}_q\big(y_s; A\big)$ in which p stands for the column p. Note that

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{H}_i\big(\mathrm{Tot}(M)\big) \cong \mathrm{H}_i\big(\mathbf{x}, y_s; A/(\mathbf{y}')\big) \cong \mathrm{H}_i\big(y_s; A/(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}')\big) = 0, & i \geq 2. \\ \mathrm{H}_i\big(\mathrm{Tot}(M)\big) \cong \mathrm{H}_i\big(\mathbf{x}, y_s; A/(\mathbf{y}')\big) \cong \mathrm{H}_i\big(y_s; A/(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}')\big) \cong \omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})}, & i = 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore we have,

$${}^{II}\mathrm{E}^2_{p,q} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{H}_q(\mathbf{x}; R), & p = 0 \\ \mathrm{H}_q\big(\mathbf{x}; \big((\mathbf{y}') : y_s\big)/(\mathbf{y}')\big)\big) = \mathrm{H}_q(\mathbf{x}; \omega_R), & p = 1 \\ 0, & p \neq 0, 1. \end{cases}$$

Now the desired exact sequence is just the five term exact sequence of this spectral sequence (see, [Ro09, Theorem 10.31 (Homology of Five-Term Exact Sequence)]).

For the second part note that according to the vanishings of (2.1) for $i \geq 2$, all of the maps,

$$d^2 : \mathrm{H}_{i+2}(\mathbf{x}; R) \to \mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}; \omega_R), \ (i \geq 1)$$

arising from the second page of the spectral sequence are isomorphisms.

(iv). The exact sequence of the first part of the lemma implies that,

$$\ell\big(R/(\mathbf{x})R\big) - \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) = \ell\big(\omega_{R/(\mathbf{x}R)}\big) - \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) = \ell\big(\omega_R/(\mathbf{x})\omega_R\big) - \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, R)\big).$$

The Serre's formula of the multiplicity [BH98, Theorem 4.7.6] together with Lemma 2.2(ii) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) &= \ell\big(R/(\mathbf{x})R\big) - \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) + \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) - \sum_{i=3}^{d} \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) \\ &= \ell\big(\omega_R/(\mathbf{x})\omega_R\big) - \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) + \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) - \sum_{i=3}^{d} \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) \\ &= \ell\big(\omega_R/(\mathbf{x})\omega_R\big) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}, \omega_R)\big) \\ &= \mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, \omega_R) \end{aligned}$$

□

The next lemma is a special case of [Hu82]. However, we cannot use Huneke's result in the course of the proof of Theorem 2.5 because, in general, $\mathrm{e}(R)$ and $\mathrm{e}_A(\mathfrak{n}, R)$ do not coincide.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $(A, \mathfrak{n})$ is a complete regular local ring. Let R be a module finite extension of A which is a torsion-free A-module of (torsion-free) rank 2. Then R is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if R satisfies the Serre condition S_2.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that R is Cohen-Macaulay provided R is S_2. By virtue of [HM83], we have the splitting inclusion $A \to R$; so that $R = A \bigoplus I$ for some A-module I. Since R has (torsion-free) rank 2 over A, I has rank 1. In particular, we may presume that I is an ideal of A (because I is torsion-free and a finitely generated A-module). Since R is S_2, any part of a system of parameters, $y_1, y_2 \in A$ forms a regular sequence on R and thence on I. Consequently, I is an ideal of A which satisfies the S_2-condition as A-module. Therefore $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module, if $\operatorname{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq 1$. Also $\operatorname{depth}(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq 2$, if $\operatorname{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq 2$. Consequently, I is a reflexive ideal of A in the light of [BH98, Proposition 1.4.1]. Now the result follows from the fact that reflexive ideals of unique factorization domains are principal.

□

Lemma 2.4. *Let A be a domain of dimension d and S be an A-algebra which is a finite A-module. Then S is unmixed (every associated prime has the same dimension) if and only if it is torsion-free as an A-module.*

Proof. S is torsion-free over A if and only if $\operatorname{Ass}_A(S) = \{0\}$. Assume that S is unmixed. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_A(S)$. Then $\mathfrak{p}S \subseteq 0 :_S x$ for some $0 \neq x \in S$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}S \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ for some $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Ass}_S(S)$. Since S is unmixed and $A/(\mathfrak{q} \bigcap A) \hookrightarrow S/\mathfrak{q}$ is an integral extension, we have $d = \dim(S/\mathfrak{q}) = \dim\big(A/(\mathfrak{q} \bigcap A)\big)$ i.e. $\mathfrak{q} \bigcap A = 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} = 0$ as desired.

To see the other implication, notice that $\operatorname{Ass}_A(S) = \{\mathfrak{q} \cap A : \mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Ass}_S(S)\}$ (see [Ma89, Exercise 6.7]). Hence S being A-torsion-free implies that $\mathfrak{q} \cap A = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Ass}_S(S)$. Since S is integral over A, we have $\dim(S/\mathfrak{q}) = \dim(A/\mathfrak{q} \cap A) = \dim(A) = d$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Ass}_S(S)$. □

We are now ready to present the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be an almost complete intersection. Then*

$$e(R) \geq \dim(R) - \operatorname{depth}(R)$$

in the following cases:

(i) $\dim(R) \leq 2$ *or* $e(R) = 1$,
(ii) R *contains a field and* $e(R) = 2$,
(iii) R *contains a field and* $\dim(R) = 3$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that R is complete with infinite residue field.

(i) The case where $\dim(R) \leq 1$ is quite trivial. Let $\dim(R) = 2$; so that we only need to show that $\mathrm{e}(R) \geq 2$ provided $\operatorname{depth}(R) = 0$. If $\operatorname{depth}(R) = 0$ then $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{y}, R) \neq 0$ wherein $\mathbf{y}$ is any system of parameters of R. For a suitable $\mathbf{y}$, Serre's formula states that

$$\mathrm{e}(R) = \ell\big(R/(\mathbf{y})\big) - \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{y}, R)\big) + \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{y}, R)\big). \tag{2.2}$$

Dutta in [D13, Proposition 1.3] proved that the validity of the Monomial Conjecture implies that $\ell\big(R/(\mathbf{y})\big) - \ell\big(H_1(\mathbf{y}, R)\big) \geq 1$. So that the result follows from (2.2).

If $\mathrm{e}(R) = 1$, then for a suitable system of parameters $(\mathbf{y})$, $\ell\big(R/(\mathbf{y})\big) - \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{y}, R)\big) + \chi_2(\mathbf{y}, R) = 1$ where $\chi_2(\mathbf{y}, R) = \sum_{j \geq 2}(-1)^{j-2}\ell\big(\mathrm{H}_j(\mathbf{y}, R)\big)$. Again by Dutta's result $\ell\big(R/(\mathbf{y})\big) - \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{y}, R)\big) \geq 1$. Hence the

above equality implies that $\chi_2(\mathbf{y}, R) = 0$, therefore $\mathrm{H}_j(\mathbf{y}, R) = 0$ for $j \geq 2$ by [Se00, Appendix II, page 90]. Hence $\mathrm{depth}(R) \geq d - 1$.

(ii). Now assume that $e(R) = 2$ and R contains a field which must be $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. There exists a system of parameters $\mathbf{x}$ for R such that $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R) = \mathrm{e}(R) = 2$.

Now, let S be the S_2-ification of R and $R^{unm} = R/U$ where U is the intersection of the primary components of R associated to $\mathrm{assht}(R)$. It is known that (see for example [AG85] and [Ao83]) S is an unmixed finite R-module and $\omega_R \simeq \omega_S$. As well there is an injection $h : R^{unm} \to S$ whose cokernel has dimension at most $d - 2$.

Since, by assumption, R contains a field, there exists a regular local subring A of R^{unm} such that $\mathbf{x}$ forms the regular system of parameters for A and the residue field of A is k. By Lemma 2.4, R^{unm} is a torsion-free A-module. So that we may apply the projection formula of multiplicity [HIO88, Corollary 6.5] which asserts that

$$\mathrm{e}_A(\mathbf{x}, R^{unm}) = [\frac{R^{unm}}{\mathfrak{m}} : \frac{A}{(\mathbf{x})}]\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R^{unm}).$$

Since $R^{unm}/\mathfrak{m} = A/(\mathbf{x}) = k$, we get $e_A(\mathbf{x}, R^{unm}) = \mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R^{unm})$. An application of the associativity formula shows that $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R^{unm}) = \mathrm{e}(R) = 2$. Hence $\mathrm{e}_A(\mathbf{x}, R^{unm}) = 2$. Now, considering the structure map $h : R^{unm} \to S$ and applying again the associativity formula, we get $\mathrm{e}_A(\mathbf{x}, S) = 2$.

Yet another application of the associativity formula [BH98, Corollary 4.7.9] implies that

$$\mathrm{rank}_A(S)\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, A) = \mathrm{e}_A(\mathbf{x}, S).$$

Since $\mathbf{x}$ is a regular system of parameters of A, $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, A) = 1$; so that $\mathrm{rank}_A(S) = 2$. Since S is an unmixed and finite A-module, Lemma 2.4 implies that S is a torsion-free A-module of rank 2. Therefore S is Cohen-Macaulay according to Lemma 2.3. Hence $\omega_R(\simeq \omega_S)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-module. So that Lemma 2.2(iii) implies that $\mathrm{depth}(R) \geq d - 2$ as desired.

(iii) Assume that $\dim(R) = 3$ and R contains a field. If $\mathrm{depth}(R) \geq 2$ then the statement is trivial. If $\mathrm{depth}(R) = 1$ then the statement follows from [D13, 1.3. Proposition] similarly as in the proof of part (i). If $\mathrm{depth}(R) = 0$ then we must have $\mathrm{e}(R) \geq 3$, otherwise in the light of the preceding part we get a contradiction with $\mathrm{depth}(R) \geq \dim(R) - \mathrm{e}(R) \geq 1$. □

Remark 2.6. The reason for the restriction of equal characteristic in the statements of part (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 2.5 is that: an arbitrary system of parameters $\mathbf{x}$ of a mixed characteristic complete local ring R does not necessarily provide a Noether normalization $A \to R$ such that $\mathbf{x}$ is a regular system of parameters of A. Hence we cannot apply the proof of Lemma 2.3 or [Hu82] to conclude that a commutative local S_2 ring of multiplicity 2 is Cohen-Macaulay, in general. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, the mixed characteristic case of Huneke's [Hu82] is still an open problem. See [Oc87] for a discussion on the mixed characteristic version of [Hu82].

The peculiar question is the following

Question 2.7. *What is the maximum value for ϵ such that for any equi-charactristic almost complete intersection R with $e(R) \leq \epsilon$ one has $e(R) \geq \dim(R) - \mathrm{depth}(R)$?*

The next corollary shows the existence of (small) maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules for complete equi-charactristic rings of multiplicity at most 2.

Corollary 2.8. *Let ϵ be the answer of the Question 2.7. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be equi-charactristic and analytically irreducible with $e(R) \leq \epsilon$ or $\dim(R) \leq \epsilon + 1$. Then the canonical module ω_R, if exists, satisfies*

$$\mathrm{depth}(\omega_R) \geq \min\{\dim(R), \dim(R) - e(R) + 2\}.$$

In particular the canonical module of each equicharacteristic analytically irreducible ring of multiplicity at most 2*, if exists, is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. It is harmless to assume that R is complete. Using Dutta's technique applied in the proof of [D13, Proposition 1.2.], we can find an almost complete intersection R' and a regular local ring A such that R and R' are both homomorphic image of A and they have the same canonical module, the same dimension and the same multiplicity. An argument similar to that of Theorem 2.5(iii) shows that $\mathrm{e}(R') \geq \dim(R') - \mathrm{depth}(R')$. Hence the statement follows from Lemma 2.2(iv) and Theorem 2.5(ii). □

Theorem 2.5 shows that $\epsilon \geq 2$. The next proposition shows that $\epsilon \leq 599$.

Proposition 2.9. *(*[BMNS11]*) Let K be a field and p any positive integer. There exists an almost complete intersection R (with three relations) containing K such that* $\dim(R) - \mathrm{depth}(R) \geq p^{p-1} - 2$ *and* $e(R) \leq p^4 - p^2 + 1$*. In particular the invariant ϵ satisfies* $2 \leq \epsilon \leq 599$*.*

Proof. According to [BMNS11, Corollary 3.6], over any field K and for any positive integer p, there exists an ideal I in a polynomial ring S over K with three homogeneous generators in degree p^2 such that $\mathrm{pd}(R = S/I) \geq p^{p-1}$. The ideal I in loc.cit. has codimension 2 hence by Auslander-Buchsbaum's formula $\dim(R) - \mathrm{depth}(R) = \mathrm{pd}_S(R) - 2$. The upper bound for the multiplicity is provided in [HMMS15, Corollary 2.3]. Setting $p = 5$, we will get a counter-example to the inequality $\mathrm{e}(R) \geq \dim(R) - \mathrm{depth}(R)$ with $\mathrm{e}(R) \leq 601$. Moreover by [HMMS15], $\mathrm{e}(R)$ cannot attain its maximum value because R is not Cohen-Macaulay; so that $\mathrm{e}(R) \leq 600$. □

As a final remark in this section, we will show a special case of the Stillmans conjecture (recently proved by Ananyan and Hochster [AH16] over algebraically closed fields). This result has already been shown by Eisenbud and Huneke though it has never been published.

Corollary 2.10. *Let $S = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field k of arbitrary characteristic (and not necessarily algebraically closed). Let I be an ideal of S generated by* 3 *quadrics. Then* $\mathrm{pd}(S/I) \leq 4$*.*

Proof. We consider the codimension of I. The non-trivial case is when I has codimension 2 and thus an almost complete intersection. By [HMMS15, Corollary 2.3], $\mathrm{e}(S/I) \leq 3$ with $\mathrm{e}(S/I) = 3$ if S/I is Cohen-Macaulay for which $\mathrm{pd}(S/I) = 2$. Otherwise $\mathrm{e}(S/I) \leq 2$, hence according to Theorem 2.5, $2 \geq \dim(S/I) - \mathrm{depth}(S/I) = \mathrm{pd}_S(S/I) - 2$ which implies the assertion. □

3. Annihilator of Koszul homologies and resolving $R/\mathfrak{m}$ by residual approximation complexes

In this section we study those almost complete intersection rings R which satisfy

$$\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq (\mathbf{x})R, \tag{3.1}$$

for some system of parameters $\mathbf{x} := x_1, \ldots, x_d$ of R such that $x_1 = p$ if, additionally, R has mixed characteristic $p > 0$. We prove that the residue field of R has a resolution of length d by certain approximation complexes. Due to the complexity of the structures, we refer to [Ha12] and [HN16] for detailed explanation of the structures of these complexes. The motivating property to mention these complexes here is that the acyclicity of these complexes is related to the uniform annihilator of Koszul homologies and to homological conjectures.

Theorem 3.1. *(*[HN16, Theorem 4.4, Corollary 4.5]*) Let R be a (Noetherian) ring, and let, $\mathfrak{a} = (\mathbf{a}) = (a_1, \ldots, a_s)$, and, $I = (\mathbf{f}) = (b, a_1, \ldots, a_s)$. Then there exists a complex,*

$$\mathcal{Z}^+_\bullet(a_1, \ldots, a_s, b) := 0 \to \mathcal{Z}^+_{s+1} \to \mathcal{Z}^+_s \to \cdots \to \mathcal{Z}^+_1 \to R \to 0,$$

such that, $H_0\big(\mathcal{Z}^+_\bullet(a_1,\dots,a_s,b)\big) = R/(\mathfrak{a} :_R b)$*, and,* $\mathcal{Z}^+_i = \bigoplus_{j=i}^{s+1} Z_j(\mathbf{f})^{\oplus n_j}$*, for some positive integers* n_j*, wherein,* $Z_j(\mathbf{f})$*, is the* j*-th module of cycles of the Koszul complex* $K(a_1,\cdots,a_s,b;R)$*. Moreover,* $\mathcal{Z}^+_\bullet(a_1,\dots,a_s,b)$*, is acyclic if and only if* $b \in (0 :_R H_i(a_1,\dots,a_s;R))$ *for each natural number* i*.*

Translating the above theorem into the foregoing setting of the beginning of the section for each $z \in \mathfrak{m}\backslash(\mathbf{x})$, we obtain a complex $\mathcal{Z}^+_\bullet(\mathbf{x},z)$ consisting of Koszul cycles of the sequence $(\mathbf{x},z)$ such that $\mathrm{H}_0\big(\mathcal{Z}^+_\bullet(\mathbf{x},z)\big) = R/\mathfrak{m}$. In the sequel we establish the acyclicity of the this complex which provides us with a nice finite resolution of $R/\mathfrak{m}$. We do this, by proving that this class of almost complete intersections have multiplicity at most two, in the non-Cohen-Macaulay case[1]. So that, Theorem 2.5(ii) implies that $\operatorname{depth}(R) \geq d-2$. This lower bound for the depth would imply that the Koszul homologies of R with respect to $\mathbf{x}$ are $R/\mathfrak{m}$-vector spaces, as required. However, in order to accomplish this, we shall have need of an additional assumption on $\mathbf{x}$, i.e. $\mathbf{x}$ is, furthermore, a part of a minimal basis for the maximal ideal of R. We overcome the minimality by passing to an appropriate extesion which is explained in Remark 3.4.

The structure of the annihilator of Koszul homologies is closely related to *Homological Conjectures*, in particular to the Monomial Conjecture as one can see in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ *be an almost complete intersection and* $\mathbf{x}$ *be any system of parameters of* R*. Then the annihilator of the first Koszul homology with respect to* $\mathbf{x}$ *is not* $(\mathbf{x})$ *i.e.,*

$$(\mathbf{x}) \subsetneq (0 :_R H_1(\mathbf{x};R)).$$

if and only if the Monomial Conjecture holds. Consequently, by virtue of [An16]*,*

$$((\mathbf{x}) : \mathfrak{m}) \subseteq (0 :_R H_1(\mathbf{x};R)).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2(i), $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x};R) \cong \omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})}/\mathrm{im}(\theta)$, wherein θ is defined in the exact sequence of Lemma 2.2(i). Therefore, in the light of the exact sequence of Lemma 2.2(i) and [D13, Proposition 1.3] the Monomial Conjecture for the system of parameter $\mathbf{x}$ of R is valid if and only if $\mathrm{im}(\theta) \neq 0$ (since $\ell\big(\omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})}\big) = \ell\big(\mathrm{E}_{R/(\mathbf{x})}(R/\mathfrak{m})\big) = \ell\big(R/(\mathbf{x})\big)$). Since the canonical module is faithful, it suffices to show that

$$(\mathbf{x}) : \mathfrak{m} \subseteq 0 :_R \big(\omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})}/N\big),$$

for any non-zero submodule N of $\omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})}$. Recall that $\omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})} \cong \mathrm{E}_{R/(\mathbf{x})}(R/\mathfrak{m})$ and that $\omega_{R/(\mathbf{x})}/N$ has the same annihilator as its Matlis dual; so that it suffices to show that the annihilator of any proper ideal of $R/(\mathbf{x})$ contains $\big((\mathbf{x}) : \mathfrak{m}\big)/(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{Soc}\big(R/(\mathbf{x})\big)$ which is trivial because any proper ideal is contained in $\mathfrak{m}/(\mathbf{x})$. □

To prove the main theorem of this section, we need some auxiliary facts.

Remark 3.3. Let $a \in R$. Then the free R-module $R \bigoplus R$ acquires a ring structure via the following rule,

$$(r,s)(r',s') = (rr' + ss'a, rs' + r's).$$

We use the notation $R(a^{1/2})$ to denote the foregoing ring structure of $R \bigoplus R$. In fact it is easily seen that the map, $R(a^{1/2}) \to R[X]/(X^2-a)$, which takes (r,s) to $(sX+r)+(X^2-a)R[X]$ is an isomorphism of R-algebras. In particular if R is an almost complete intersection then so is $R(a^{1/2})$. We are given the extension map $R \to R(a^{1/2})$ by the rule $r \mapsto (r,0)$ which turns $R(a^{1/2})$ into a free R-module with the

[1]If R is a Cohen-Macaulay almost complete intersection satisfying (3.1), then we may have, $\mathrm{e}(R) = 3$. For instance, let R be the residue ring of, $\mathbf{Q}[X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4,X_5,X_6]$, modulo the ideal generated by size 2 minors of the generic 2×3 matrix of indeterminates.

basis $\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$. Consequently this extension is an integral extension of R and it is subject to the following properties which all are easy to verify.

(i) $\dim(R) = \dim\big(R(a^{1/2})\big)$ and a has a square root in $R(a^{1/2})$, namely $(0,1)$.
(ii) If $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ then $R(a^{1/2})$ is a local ring with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{R(a^{1/2})} := \mathfrak{m} \bigoplus R$.
(iii) If $a, x_2, \ldots, x_d$ is a system of parameters of R then $a^{1/2}, x_2, \ldots, x_d$ is a system of parameters for $R(a^{1/2})$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq (a, x_2, \ldots, x_d)$, then,

$$\mathfrak{m}^2_{R(a^{1/2})} = (\mathfrak{m}^2 + Ra) \bigoplus \mathfrak{m} \subseteq (a^{1/2}, x_2, \ldots, x_d).$$

In the following remark we promote an arbitrary sequence of elements of R to a part of a minimal generating set of the maximal ideal of an R-algebra which is a finite free R-module.

Remark 3.4. Let, $x_1, \ldots, x_l$, be a sequence of elements of R contained in the maximal ideal of R. We, inductively, construct the local ring $(R_i, \mathfrak{m}_i)$ by taking a square root of x_i in, R_{i-1}, similarly as in the preceding remark. Then in, R_l, we have,

$$x_i^{1/2} = (\underbrace{0}_{\text{0-th coordinate}}, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, \underbrace{0}_{(2^l-1)\text{-th coordinate}}),$$

whose $2^{(i-1)}$-th coordinate is 1 and others are zero.

(i) Let $1 \leq j \leq l$ and $0 \leq k \leq 2^l - 1$. We denote the element $(0, \ldots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{k-\text{th coordinate}}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ of R_l by e_k. Then we have,

$$e_k x_j^{1/2} = \begin{cases} e_{k+2^{j-1}}, & (j-1)\text{- th digit of } k \text{ in base 2 is 0} \\ x_j e_{k-2^{j-1}}, & (j-1)\text{- th digit of } k \text{ in base 2 is 1.} \end{cases}$$

In order to see why this is the case we induct on the least natural number $s \geq j$ such that $k \leq 2^s - 1$. In the case where $s = j$ it is easily seen that the $(j-1)$-th digit of k in its 2-th base representation is 0 (is 1) if and only if $k \leq 2^{j-1} - 1$ ($k \geq 2^{j-1}$). So an easy use of the multiplication rule of the ring $R_j := R_{j-1} \bigoplus R_{j-1}$ proves the claim (Recall that R_j is subring of R_l). Now assume that $s > j$. Then we have,

$$e_k x_j^{1/2} = \Big(0, \ldots, \underbrace{0}_{2^{s-1}-1\text{-th coordinate}}, \big(0, \ldots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{(k-2^{s-1})\text{-th coordinate}}, 0, \ldots, 0\big) x_j^{1/2}\Big).$$

Now set $k' := k - 2^{s-1}$. Note that the $(j-1)$-th digit of the base 2 representation of k and k' are equal. Consequently the statement follows from our inductive hypothesis.

(ii) We are going to show that for each $1 \leq i \leq l$ the projection map $\tau_{2^{(i-1)}} : \mathfrak{m}_l^2 \to R$, which is the projection to the $(2^{(i-1)})$-th coordinate, is not surjective. In the case where $l = 1$ we have $\mathfrak{m}_l^2 = (\mathfrak{m}^2 + x_1 R) \bigoplus \mathfrak{m}$. So, we assume that $l \geq 2$ and the statement is true for smaller values of l. Then,

$$\mathfrak{m}_l^2 = \big(\mathfrak{m}_{l-1}^2 + x_l R_{l-1}\big) \bigoplus \mathfrak{m}_{l-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, if $i = l$ then 2^{l-1}-th coordinate of $\mathfrak{m}_l^2$ is just the first coordinate of,

$$\mathfrak{m}_{l-1} = \mathfrak{m} \bigoplus R \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus R.$$

Hence, clearly, $\tau_{2^{(l-1)}}$ is not surjective. On the other hand if $i \lneq l$ then by our inductive hypothesis $\tau_{2^{(i-1)}} : \mathfrak{m}_{l-1}^2 \to R$ is not surjective which, in the light of the equality (3.2), implies the statement immediately.

(iii) In continuation of our investigation in the previous part, we need to show, also, that the projection map $\tau_{2^{(i-1)}} : x_j^{1/2} R_{l-1} \to R$ is not surjective unless $i = j$ ($1 \leq i \leq l-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq l-1$). Let $(r_k)_{0 \leq k \leq 2^{l-1}-1} \in R_{l-1}$. Then we have,

$$(r_k)_{0\leq k\leq 2^{l-1}-1}x_j^{1/2}=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{l-1}-1}r_ke_kx_j^{1/2}=$$
$$\sum_{\substack{k=0\\ (j-1)-\text{th digit of } k \text{ in base 2 is 0}}}^{2^{l-1}-1} r_ke_{k+2^{j-1}}+\sum_{\substack{k=0\\ (j-1)-\text{th digit of } k \text{ in base 2 is 1}}}^{2^{l-1}-1} r_kx_je_{k-2^{j-1}}.$$

Thus if $i<j$ then evidently $\tau_{2^{i-1}}$ is not surjective. On the other hand if $i>j$ and there exits $0\leq k\leq 2^{l-1}-1$ with $k+2^{j-1}=2^{i-1}$ then $k=2^{i-1}-2^{j-1}$ which after a straightforward computation shows that the $(j-1)$-th digit of k in base 2 is 1. This proves the non-surjectivity of $\tau_{2^{i-1}}$.

(iv) By means of the arguments of the foregoing part we can, directly, conclude that,

$$x_i^{1/2}\notin \mathfrak{m}_{l-1}^2+(x_1^{1/2},\dots,\widehat{x_i^{1/2}},\dots,x_{l-1}^{1/2},x_l)R_{l-1},\ (i\lneq l)$$

otherwise we must have $\tau_{2^{(i-1)}}:(x_j^{1/2})R_{l-1}\to R$ for some $1\leq j\leq l-1$ and $j\neq i$ or $\tau_{2^{(i-1)}}:\mathfrak{m}_{l-1}^2\to R$ is surjective.

(v) The elements $x_1^{1/2},\dots,x_l^{1/2}$ forms a part of a minimal basis for the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_l$ of R_l. Let, $(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\dots,(\alpha_l,\beta_l)\in R_l=R_{l-1}\bigoplus R_{l-1}$ be such that,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{l-1}(\alpha_k,\beta_k)x_k^{1/2}+(\alpha_l,\beta_l)\underbrace{(0_{R_{l-1}},1_{R_{l-1}})}_{=x_l^{1/2}}\in\mathfrak{m}_l^2=\big(\mathfrak{m}_{l-1}^2+(x_l)R_{l-1}\big)\bigoplus\mathfrak{m}_{l-1}.$$

Then by a simple computation we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{l-1}\alpha_kx_k^{1/2}+\beta_lx_l\in\mathfrak{m}_{l-1}^2+x_lR_{l-1},\tag{3.3}$$

and,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{l-1}\beta_kx_k^{1/2}+\alpha_l\in\mathfrak{m}_{l-1}.\tag{3.4}$$

So the identity (3.4) yields $\alpha_l\in\mathfrak{m}_{l-1}$ and thence $(\alpha_l,\beta_l)\in\mathfrak{m}_l$. Moreover, for each $1\leq k\leq l-1$ we must have $(\alpha_k,\beta_k)\in\mathfrak{m}_l=\mathfrak{m}_{l-1}\bigoplus R_{l-1}$, otherwise we get $\alpha_i\notin\mathfrak{m}_{l-1}$ for some $1\leq i\leq l-1$ which in view of the identity (3.3) yields $x_i^{1/2}\in\mathfrak{m}_{l-1}^2+(x_1^{1/2},\dots,\widehat{x_i^{1/2}},\dots,x_{l-1}^{1/2},x_l)R_{l-1}$ violating part (iv). Consequently, $(\alpha_k,\beta_k)\in\mathfrak{m}_l$ for each $1\leq k\leq l$. This implies that $x_1^{1/2}+\mathfrak{m}_l^2,\dots,x_l^{1/2}+\mathfrak{m}_l^2$ is a linearly independent subset of $\mathfrak{m}_l/\mathfrak{m}_l^2$ over $R_l/\mathfrak{m}_l$ and thence $x_1^{1/2},\dots,x_l^{1/2}$ is part of a minimal basis for $\mathfrak{m}_d$.

The next lemma holds for both equal characteristic and mixed characteristic cases. Here we present a proof in mixed characteristic while the proof in in equicharacteristic zero is similar. Furthermore, we write $p^{1/2}$ instead of p, because we applied our square root technique developed in Remark 3.4, to have the extra assumption that $x_1,\dots,x_d$ is a part of a minimal generating set of $\mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that R is an almost complete intersection satisfying (3.1) and assume in addition that $\mathbf{x}$ is a part of minimal generating set of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then embdim$(R)-\dim(R)\leq 2$.*

Proof. Let us use a presentation $p^{1/2},X_2,\dots,X_d$ for the sysytem of parameters $\mathbf{x}$ in R where $R=(V,p^{1/2})[[X_2,\dots,X_d,Z_1,\dots,Z_u]]/I$ is a homomorphic image of the regular local ring

$$(A,\mathfrak{n})=(V,p^{1/2})[[X_2,\dots,X_d,Z_1,\dots,Z_u]]$$

with $d+u=\text{embdim}(A)=\text{embdim}(R)$. So that $\mathfrak{n}^2\subseteq(p^{1/2},X_2,\dots,X_d)+I$. Set $I=(f_1,\dots,f_l)$, wherein $l=\mu(I)$. We denote by f_i^X the sum of those monomials of f_i whose power of X_i is non-zero for some $2\leq i\leq d$. Subsequently, we set $f_i^{p^{1/2}}$ to be the sum of those monomials of $f_i-f_i^X$ whose coefficients are multiple of $p^{1/2}$. It follows that, $f_i^Z:=f_i-f_i^X-f_i^{p^{1/2}}\in V[[Z_1,\dots,Z_u]]$, and that the coefficients of the monomials of f_i^Z are all invertible. Now, set ${}^{\geq 3}f_i{}^Z$ (resp., ${}^{\leq 2}f_i{}^Z$) to be the sum of the

monomials of f_i^Z of total degree greater than or equal to 3 (resp., less than or equal to 2). Since $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$, it turns out that, ${}^{\leq 2}f_i{}^Z$, is an V-linear combination of the elements of the form $\{Z_iZ_i : 1 \leq i, j \leq u\}$ with invertible coefficients in V. Otherwise, ${}^{\leq 2}f_i{}^Z$ and thence f_i, would have a summand of the form kZ_j^α where, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$, $1 \leq j \leq u$ and $k \in V \backslash p^{1/2}V$. But this contradicts with $f_i \in \mathfrak{n}^2$. In particular, ${}^{\leq 2}f_i{}^Z \in (Z_1, \ldots, Z_u)^2$.

On the other hand the fact that, $Z_iZ_j \in (p^{1/2}, X_2, \ldots, X_d) + (f_1, \ldots, f_l)$, yields

$$Z_kZ_s = g_1p^{1/2} + \sum_{i=2}^{d} g_iX_i + \sum_{i=1}^{l} h_i\ {}^{\geq 3}f_i{}^Z + \sum_{i=1}^{l} h_i\ {}^{\leq 2}f_i{}^Z,$$

for each $1 \leq k, s \leq u$ and power series h_i, g_i. Thus an elementary computation shows that $(Z_1, \ldots, Z_u)^2 \subseteq ({}^{\leq 2}f_1{}^Z, \ldots, {}^{\leq 2}f_l{}^Z)$. This in conjunction with the concluding assertion of the preceding paragraph yields $(Z_1, \ldots, Z_u)^2 = ({}^{\leq 2}f_1{}^Z, \ldots, {}^{\leq 2}f_l{}^Z)$.

Since R is an almost complete intersection, we have $l = \mu(I) = \mathrm{ht}(I) + 1 = \dim(A) - \dim(R) + 1 = \mathrm{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) + 1 = u + 1$. Consequently, we get

$$u + 1 = l \geq \mu\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{l}({}^{\leq 2}f_i{}^Z)V[[X_2, \ldots, X_d, Z_1, \ldots, Z_u]]\Big) = \mu\big((Z_1, \ldots, Z_u)^2\big) = u(u+1)/2,$$

therefore $u \leq 2$ as desired. □

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that R is an almost complete intersection satisfying (3.1) and moreover $\mathbf{x}$ is a part of minimal generating set of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then either R is Cohen-macaulay with $e(\mathbf{x}, R) = 3$, or else $e(\mathbf{x}, R) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Consider a minimal Cohen-presentation of R, $R = A/I$. So that $\mathrm{embdim}\big((A, \mathfrak{n})\big) = \mathrm{embdim}\big((R, \mathfrak{m})\big)$ and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$. Firstly, we have

$$\ell\big(R/(\mathbf{x})\big) = \ell\Big(A/\big((\mathbf{x}) + I\big)\Big) = \ell(\overline{A}/\overline{I})$$

wherein the notation, $\overline{\ }$, means modulo $(\mathbf{x})$. But $\overline{I} = \overline{\mathfrak{n}^2}$, since $\mathfrak{n}^2 \subseteq I + (\mathbf{x})$ and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$ simultaneously. It turns out that,

$$\ell\big(R/(\mathbf{x})\big) = \ell(\overline{A}/\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^2) = \mathrm{embdim}(\overline{A}) + 1 = \mathrm{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) + 1.$$

By Lemma 3.5, $\mathrm{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) \leq 2$ hence the above equality implies that $\ell\big(R/(\mathbf{x})\big) \leq 3$. So that $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R) \leq 3$ (e.g. [Ma89, 14.10]). But if $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R) = 3$ then R is Cohen-Macaulay by [Ma89, 17.11]. Thus we have $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R) \leq 2$ if R is not Cohen-Macaulay. □

We are now ready to state the main result of the section.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that R is an almost complete intersection satisfying (3.1). Then for each $i \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{m}\big(H_i(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) = 0$. Consequently, for each $z \in \mathfrak{m}\backslash(\mathbf{x})$, the residual approximation complex $\mathcal{Z}_\bullet^+(\mathbf{x}, z)$ is an acyclic complex of length d which "resolves" $R/\mathfrak{m}$.*

Proof. If R is a Cohen-Macaulay then there is nothing to prove, because then $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}, R) = 0$ for each $i \geq 1$ and therefore the statement is obvious by virtue of Theorem 3.1. So assume that R is not Cohen-Macaulay (and is complete). We separate two cases

Case 1. If $\mathbf{x}$ is a part of minimal generating set of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then according to Proposition 3.6, $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R) \leq 2$. Now if R has equal characteristic then we have $\mathrm{depth}(R) \geq \dim(R) - 2$, by Theorem 2.5(ii). On the other hand if R has mixed characteristic $p > 0$ then using our assumption of $x_1 = p$, we may have a Noether normalization $A \to R$ wherein A is a regular local ring with the regular system of parameters $\mathbf{x}$.

Consequently, the proof of Theorem 2.5(ii) can be copied verbatim to obtain the inequality, $\operatorname{depth}(R) \geq \dim(R) - 2$. Now if $\operatorname{depth}(R) = \dim(R) - 1$ then $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathbf{x}, R) = 0$ for each $i \geq 2$ and the statement follows from Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.1-notice that $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq ((\mathbf{x}) : \mathfrak{m})$ by assumption.

So we deal with case where $\operatorname{depth}(R) = \dim(R) - 2$. In particular, $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R) = 2$ and $\ell\big(R/(\mathbf{x})\big) = 3$ by Proposition 3.6. In this case $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, R) \neq 0$, hence $\ell\big(\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) \geq 1$. As well, $\mathfrak{m}\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}, R) = 0$ by Proposition 3.2. On the other hand, according to Monomial conjecture (Theorem), [D13, Proposition 1.3] implies that $\ell\big(R/(\mathbf{x})\big) - \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) \geq 1$. By Serre's formula, we have

$$\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R) = \ell\big(R/(\mathbf{x})\big) - \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) + \ell\big(\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, R)\big).$$

Since $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R) = 2$, we get $\ell\big(\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) = 1$. In particular $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, R) \simeq R/\mathfrak{m}$; so that $\mathfrak{m}\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, R) = 0$ as desired.

Case 2. If $\mathbf{x}$ is not a part of minimal generating set of $\mathfrak{m}$. In this case one may use Remark 3.4 to find a ring R' which is module finite and free almost complete intersection extension of R bearing a s.o.p. $\mathbf{x}'$ which is a part of a minimal basis of its maximal ideal and properly contains $\mathbf{x}$. In this case $\operatorname{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) \leq \operatorname{embdim}(R') - \dim(R')$. The latter is at most 2 according to Proposition 3.5. Since by assumption $\mathbf{x}$ is not a part of minimal generating set of $\mathfrak{m}$ we must have $\operatorname{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) = 1$. However, by assumption, R is an almost complete intersection which is a quotient of a regular local ring A by an ideal $\mathbf{a}$. Therefore $\operatorname{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) = 1$ shows that $\mathbf{a}$ is a two generated ideal of height 1, which is resolved by a Hilbert-Burch matrix. So that $\operatorname{depth}(R) = \dim(R) - 1$ and thus the result follows from Proposition 3.2. □

It is worth presenting an explicit non-Cohen-Macaulay example of the class of rings of the previous theorem.

Example 3.8. *Set* $R = K[[Y_1, \ldots, Y_6, Z_1, Z_2]]/I$, *with* $I = (Y_2^6Y_3^5 + Z_2^2, Y_3^3Y_4^8 + Z_1^2, Y_2^3Y_3^4Y_4^4 + Z_1Z_2)$. *Then* $\operatorname{depth}(R) = 4$ *and* $\dim(R) = 6$.

We give an example to show that, in general, the inclusion $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq (\mathbf{x})$ in conjunction with the non-Cohen-Macaulayness does not imply that $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{x}, R) = 2$ (without assuming that R is an almost complete intersection).

Example 3.9. *Set* $S = \mathbf{Q}[X_1, \ldots, X_4, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3]$, *wherein* X_1, X_2, X_3 *have degree* 1 *and* X_4, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 *have degree* 2. *Let* $I := (Z_1^2 + X_3^2Z_2 + X_4Z_2, Z_2^2 - X_1X_2Z_3 + X_4Z_3, Z_3^2, Z_1Z_2, Z_1Z_3, Z_2Z_3)$. *Then, in* $R = S/I$ *the image of the sequence* $\mathbf{x} := X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4$ *forms a system of parameters satisfying (3.1) while* $e(\mathbf{x}, R) = 3$. *Note that* $\operatorname{depth}(R) = 3$ *and* R *is not Cohen-Macaulay.*

Inspired by Theorem 3.7 in conjunction with Theorem 3.2, we propose the following question, which is a generalization of the Monomial Conjecture.

Question 3.10. *Let* R *be an arbitrary almost complete intersection. Let,* $\mathbf{x}$*, be a system of parameters of* R *and suppose that* z *is element of* R *whose image in* $R/(\mathbf{x})$ *is a non-zero element of* $Soc\big(R/(\mathbf{x})\big)$. *Thus we have* $(\mathbf{x}) : z = \mathfrak{m}$, *and whereby, in the light of Theorem 3.1, we are given with the complex,* ${}_0\mathcal{Z}_\bullet^+(\mathbf{x}, z)$, *with,* $H_0\Big({}_0\mathcal{Z}_\bullet^+(\mathbf{x}, z)\Big) = R/\mathfrak{m}$. *The question is whether* ${}_0\mathcal{Z}_\bullet^+(\mathbf{x}, z)$ *resolves* $R/\mathfrak{m}$*; in other words,* $z\big(H_i(\mathbf{x}, R)\big) = 0$ *for each* $i \geq 1$*?*

Acknowledgement

We thank Massoud Tousi, Anurag K. Singh, Linquan Ma, Kazuma Shimomoto and Kamran Divaani-Aazar for their helpful comments and valuable suggestions. Part of this work was done while the first author was supported by a CNPq "pós-doutorado no exterior" 233035/2014-1 for which he is thankful.

We also would like to thank the University of Utah for its hospitality during our visit in the academic year 2015-2016 as well as for its support for this research.

References

[Ab66] S. S. Abhyankar, *Resolution of singularities of embedded algebraic surfaces*, Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1966

[AH16] T. Ananyan and M. Hochster, *Small subalgebras of polynomial rings and Stillmans conjecture*, arXiv:1610.09268v1 [math.AC](2016).

[An16] Y. Andre, *La conjecture du facteur direct*, arXiv:1609.00345 [math.AG](2016).

[Ao83] Y. Aoyama, *Some basic results on canonical modules*, Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University (1983), 85–94.

[AG85] Y. Aoyama and S. Goto, *On the endomorphism ring of the canonical module*, Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University (1985), 21–30.

[BMNS11] J. Beder,J. McCullough,L. Nunez-Betancourt, A. Seceleanu, B. Snapp, B. Stone, *Ideals with larger projective dimension and regularity*, J. Symbolic Comput. 46 (2011), no. 10, 1105–1113.

[BH98] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge, 1998.

[D13] S.P. Dutta, *The monomial conjecture and order ideals*, Journal of Algebra 383, (2013), 232–241.

[Ha12] Seyed Hamid Hassanzadeh, *Cohen-Macaulay residual intersections and their Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 364 (2012), no. 12, 6371?6394.

[HN16] S. H. Hassanzade and J. Naeliton, *Residual Intersections and the Annihilator of Koszul Homologies*, Algebra Number Theory 10 (2016), no. 4, 737?770.

[HIO88] M. Herrmann, S. Ikeda and U. Orbanz, *Equimultiplicity and blowing up*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. An algebraic study, With an appendix by B. Moonen.

[HSV81] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, *Koszul homology and blowing-up rings*, Commutative algebra (Trento, 1981), pp. 79–169.

[Ho75] M. Hochster, *Topics in the homological theory of modules over commutative rings*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. in Math., vol. 24, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1975.

[HM83] M. Hochster and J. E. McLaughlin, *Splitting theorems for quadratic ring extensions*, Illinois J. Math. 27 (1983), no. 1, 94–103.

[Hu82] C. Huneke, *A remark concerning multiplicities*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 85 (1982), 331–332.

[HMMS13] C.Huneke, P. Mantero, J. McCullough, A. Seceleanu, *The projective dimension of codimension two algebras presented by quadrics*, J. Algebra 393 (2013), 170–186.

[HMMS15] C.Huneke, P. Mantero, J. McCullough, A. Seceleanu, *A multiplicity bound for graded rings and a criterion for the Cohen-Macaulay property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), no. 6, 2365–2377.

[HMMS16] C.Huneke, P. Mantero, J. McCullough, A. Seceleanu, *Multiple structures with arbitrarily large projective dimension supported on linear subspaces*, J. Algebra 447 (2016), 183–205.

[HMMS14] C.Huneke, P. Mantero, J. McCullough, A. Seceleanu, *A Tight bound on the projective dimension of four quadrics*, arXiv:1403.6334 [math.AC].

[Ka74] Irving Kaplansky, *Commutative rings* 2nd revised ed. (1974) (English).

[MM17] P. Mantero and J. McCullough, *The projective dimension of 3 cubics is at most 5*, preprint.

[Ma89] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Second, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.

[Oc87] Liam OCarroll, *On a theorem of Huneke concerning multiplicities*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 99 (1987), 25?28.

[PM16] I.Peeva, J.McCullough, *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and the Eisenbud-Goto Conjecture*, preprint (2016).

[Ro09] J. J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Second, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2009.

[Sch98] Peter Schenzel, *On the use of local cohomology in algebra and geometry*, Basel: Birkhauser, 1998.

[Se00] J. -P. Serre, *Local algebra*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. Translated from the French by CheeWhye Chin and revised by the author.

[TT16] E. Tavanfar and M. Tousi, *A Study of Quasi-Gorenstein Rings*, arXiv:1508.04597 [math.AC].

[1] Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
E-mail address: hamid@im.ufrj.br and hassanzadeh.ufrj@gmail.com

[2] Department of Mathematics, Shahid Beheshti University, G.C., Tehran, Iran
E-mail address: tavanfar@ipm.ir and tavanfar@gmail.com